

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

LIONRA TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,

v.

FORTINET, INC.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00322-JRG-RSP  
(Lead Case)

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

LIONRA TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00305-JRG-RSP  
(Member Case)

LIONRA TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

v.

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00334-JRG-RSP  
(Member Case)

**DEFENDANTS FORTINET INC., CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., AND PALO ALTO  
NETWORKS, INC.’S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR HEARING ON DEFENDANTS’  
MOTIONS FOR STAY**

Defendants Fortinet, Inc., Cisco Systems, Inc., and Palo Alto Networks, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”), respectfully move, pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(g), for a hearing, at the Court’s earliest convenience, on the following motions: (1) Defendant Fortinet’s Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review (“Fortinet’s Motion”), Dkt. No. 176; (2) Defendant Palo Alto Networks’ Opposed Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review (“Palo Alto Networks’ Motion”), Dkt. No. 218; and (3) Defendant Cisco’s Opposed Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of *Inter Partes* Review for All Asserted Claims (“Cisco’s Motion”), Dkt. No. 239. All three Motions have been fully briefed, with Plaintiff Lionra Technologies Ltd. (“Lionra”) having filed its sur-reply to

Fortinet's Motion on January 12, 2024 (Dkt. No. 221), its sur-reply to Palo Alto Networks' Motion on February 7, 2024 (Dkt. No. 247), and its opposition to Cisco's Motion on February 16, 2024 (Dkt. No. 253).<sup>1</sup> With the pretrial conference more than a month away and the first trial setting almost two months away, there still remains a significant amount of work for the parties and the Court. A stay would avoid significant expense and effort associated with pretrial and trial preparation. Defendants believe that oral argument would aid the Court in resolving the Motions.

Lionra does not believe a hearing is necessary but does not oppose Defendants' request for a hearing and leaves it to the Court's discretion.

Dated: March 28, 2024

/s/ Alice E. Snedeker

Melissa R. Smith (TBN 24001351)

melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

**GILLAM & SMITH, LLP**

303 South Washington Avenue

Marshall, TX 75670

Telephone: (903) 934-8450

Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Matthew C. Gaudet

mccgaudet@duanemorris.com

David C. Dotson

dcdotson@duanemorris.com

John R. Gibson

jrgibson@duanemorris.com

Alice E. Snedeker

aesnedeker@duanemorris.com

Daniel Mitchell

dmitchell@duanemorris.com

**DUANE MORRIS LLP**

1075 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1700

Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3929

Telephone: 404.253.6900

Facsimile: 404.253.6901

Brianna M. Vinci

bvinci@duanemorris.com

---

<sup>1</sup> Cisco elected not to file a reply in support of its Motion.

**DUANE MORRIS LLP**

30 S. 17th Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: 215.979.1198  
Facsimile: 215.754.4983

*Attorneys for Defendant Fortinet, Inc.*

*/s/ Brian A. Rosenthal*

---

Brian A. Rosenthal (lead attorney)

brosenthal@gibsondunn.com

Katherine Dominguez

kdominguez@gibsondunn.com

**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**

200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166-0193  
Telephone: 212.351.6300  
Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Stuart M. Rosenberg

srosenberg@gibsondunn.com

**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**

1881 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211  
Telephone: 650.849.5300  
Facsimile: 650.849.5333

Albert Suarez IV

asuarez@gibsondunn.com

Texas State Bar No. 24113094

**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100  
Dallas, TX 75201-6912  
Telephone: 214.698.3360  
Facsimile: 214.571.2907

Melissa R. Smith

melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

Texas State Bar No. 26301351

**GILLAM & SMITH, LLP**

303 South Washington Avenue  
Marshall, TX 75670  
Telephone: 903.934.8450  
Facsimile: 903.934.9257

*Attorneys for Defendant  
Cisco Systems, Inc.*

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith (TBN 24001351)  
melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com  
**GILLAM & SMITH, LLP**  
303 South Washington Avenue  
Marshall, TX 75670  
Telephone: (903) 934-8450  
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Eric A. Buresh  
Kansas Bar No. 19895  
Michelle L. Marriott  
Kansas Bar No. 21784  
Mark C. Lang  
Kansas Bar No. 26185  
Lydia C. Raw (Pro Hac Vice)  
Nick R. Apel (Pro Hac Vice)  
**ERISE IP, P.A.**  
7015 College Boulevard, Suite 700  
Telephone: (913) 777-5600  
Facsimile: (913) 777-5601  
Email: eric.buresh@eriseip.com  
Email: michelle.marriott@eriseip.com  
Email: mark.lang@eriseip.com  
Email: lydia.raw@eriseip.com  
Email: nick.apel@eriseip.com

Abran J. Kean  
Colorado Bar No. 44660  
**ERISE IP, P.A.**  
717 17th Street, Suite 1400  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
Email: abran.kean@eriseip.com

*Attorneys for Defendant  
Palo Alto Networks Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 28, 2024, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to CM/ECF participants in this case.

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*

---

Melissa R. Smith

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I hereby certify that on March 27, 2024, pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(h), counsel for Defendants met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiff, and counsel for Plaintiff indicated that it does not believe a hearing is necessary, but does not intend to formally oppose the Motion.

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*

---

Melissa R. Smith